

Walter Swennen: An Observation

I notice that everything in this work slightly staggers and reels, as if the small, fragile paintings actually refuse to be there. Somewhat excited, they whisper. The studio in Antwerp where I recently looked at them, was not so much in disarray – rather, it was filled with strangely constructed things. I don't have another word for it. There were also lots of sheets of paper with ideas and lucky-finds of all kinds. It's easy for paintings to hide in such surroundings – ie: to withdraw in the shrewd ambiguity of their own design. In these surroundings of mysterious contraptions (ie: the studio) some paintings were present, which, after some coquettish wavering, might (probably) be finished the artist conceded.

Had this status resulted in clarity? I glanced at a painting which dated from a few years earlier, *Entrelacs*, but which for some reason had remained in the studio. To start with, it is a painting on a sheet of metal and consists of two unequal parts. But I don't want to go into this aspect right now. Visiting Swennen's workshop, I noticed that in his art there is little coincidence and that he is inclined to incorporate all kinds of physical peculiarities into the manufacture of the painting. But for now, I would like to find out first how *Entrelacs* has come to look the way it does. I want to attempt to understand its genesis; thus, I might be able to say something about how the artist proceeds. I presume that in this instance the immediate cause of the work has to do with the thin pale grey of the metal. As the title already suggests, the artist has moved his brush vertically a number of times, up and down, with slow sliding movements, applying three colours: white, pale blue and red. The curls and loops are the result of different articulations and rhythms; they intertwine on the surface – but not too closely. The intertwined structure has remained transparent. The nimble progress of the single line is easy to follow – it is a mystery our glance cannot detach itself from. (Think of the full texture of the music of a string trio: we still attempt to discern the melodic line of each instrument separately, because we enjoy doing so.) I look at the painting, which according to the painter is finished, and yet does not turn silent. I noticed that the precarious balance between definition and suggestion is typical of Swennen's work.

But let us return to the colour of the metal. It is a matt silvery grey and in some areas there are horizontally oriented grazes that reveal a slightly darker grey. When the artist noticed it – *objet trouvé* – it may therefore have radiated a vague atmospheric effect. It may have had an appeal also Ensor sensed as he watched the slowly heaving sea under a grey, hazy sky, listening to the dull sound of the waves. In this veil of shades of mostly amorphous grey, the artist then (so I imagine) described the lofty, groping brushstrokes that may result in beautiful marines. Likewise, I can imagine that it was the thinness of the metal grey that caused Swennen to use these conspicuously rare shades of white, red and blue – gliding colours that are matched by the brushstrokes and the airy manufacture of *Entrelacs*. I could have asked the painter, of course, but then, wouldn't I have deprived myself of the pleasure of discovering the painting? In my experience, this discovering is an itinerary that is like a journey without end but also without orientation. It is a hovering between observations and memories. The particular colour scheme blue, white and silvery grey e.g. makes me think of certain elegant combinations of pale blue and silver in the ornaments of Bavarian rococo, which are so typically different, more reserved than the usual combination of red and gold in the decorative arts. These are the things I am thinking of while I look at the painting, slowly, irreversibly slipping into its world. Everybody who consciously looks at a painting, will lapse into this sort of musings. I can easily think of a dozen more rovings, which all become part of this unique atmosphere of the painting. *Entrelacs* is an unfathomable space, a sort of magic caught in two dimensions, in which movements and colours entangle and mysteriously keep the motion going. This painting never, nowhere, results in a mute, austere definition of design. I presume that qualifies Swennen's work in general.

In a way, this conclusion, too, is ambiguous: even a painting by Mondrian is probably less definite than the austere rectangular shapes would have us believe. A work by Mondrian, too, like all good art, is surrounded by web of suggestive relationships. Yet, there's a certain difference. Mondrian undisputably had at

least the intention to create a design that was supposed to achieve the optimum degree of orderliness. Therefore, all sorts of things (systematically) had to disappear from the artist's repertoire: curved lines, diagonals, warm shades of colour and all these other things that could result in confusion and weaken the impression of discretion and method. In Swennen's work, however, I think confusion plays a productive part. In *Entrelacs* for example static and other defects seek to entangle. This results in this overall impression of uncertain, wavering, suggestive shapes. This effect is also due, I notice, to the deliberate composition with two unequal parts, consisting of thin, grazed, slightly dented plates of metal. And then, like a fata morgana, an almost weightless shape appears (as rare as the pale sun over Ensor's sea), a shape which pleases me tremendously, all the more so in these uncertain times.

Rudi H. Fuchs

(from the catalogue for Walter Swennen's exhibition 'How to Paint a Horse, 2008')